Girls 100 Butterfly Color Projection of Probable Finish

In this chart, green means probable, yellow means possible, and red means unlikely. The percentage is the percentage of your best time needed to achieve the which place.

Rk	Swimmer	Team	Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	Maddie Foster	WIN	58.13	0.0%	-5.5%	-5.6%	-6.0%	-6.2%	-6.5%	-11.5%	-13.1%	-13.4%	-15.7%	-16.8%	-17.9%	-18.6%	-18.7%	-18.8%	-18.9%	-19.3%	-20.0%	-21.5%	-22.8%	-23.1%	-25.4%	-25.8%	-28.6%
2	Amelia Romano	BHS	61.50	5.5%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.6%	-0.8%	-1.1%	-6.4%	-8.0%	-8.4%	-10.8%	-12.0%	-13.2%	-13.9%	-14.0%	-14.0%	-14.2%	-14.7%	-15.3%	-16.9%	-18.3%	-18.7%	-21.1%	-21.5%	-24.4%
3	Katelyn Blosser	MHS	61.57	5.6%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.5%	-0.6%	-0.9%	-6.3%	-7.9%	-8.3%	-10.7%	-11.9%	-13.1%	-13.8%	-13.9%	-13.9%	-14.1%	-14.6%	-15.2%	-16.9%	-18.3%	-18.6%	-21.0%	-21.4%	-24.3%
4	Alexis Ramsey	FSHS	61.86	6.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.2%	-0.5%	-5.8%	-7.5%	-7.8%	-10.3%	-11.5%	-12.7%	-13.4%	-13.5%	-13.5%	-13.7%	-14.2%	-14.8%	-16.5%	-17.9%	-18.2%	-20.6%	-21.0%	-24.0%
5	Cameron Zuiliani	BUHS	61.97	6.2%	0.8%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.3%	-5.7%	-7.3%	-7.7%	-10.1%	-11.3%	-12.5%	-13.2%	-13.4%	-13.4%	-13.5%	-14.0%	-14.7%	-16.3%	-17.7%	-18.1%	-20.5%	-20.9%	-23.9%
6	Julia Bobersky	HURR	62.16	6.5%	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	-5.4%	-7.1%	-7.4%	-9.8%	-11.0%	-12.2%	-13.0%	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.3%	-13.7%	-14.4%	-16.1%	-17.5%	-17.8%	-20.2%	-20.6%	-23.6%
7	Emma Martin	GWHS	65.69	11.5%	6.8%	6.7%	6.2%	6.0%	5.7%	0.0%	-1.8%	-2.1%	-4.7%	-6.0%	-7.2%	-8.0%	-8.2%	-8.2%	-8.3%	-8.8%	-9.6%	-11.3%	-12.8%	-13.2%	-15.7%	-16.1%	-19.3%
8	Morgan Reynolds	GWHS	66.88	13.1%	8.7%	8.6%	8.1%	7.9%	7.6%	1.8%	0.0%	-0.3%	-3.0%	-4.3%	-5.6%	-6.4%	-6.5%	-6.5%	-6.7%	-7.2%	-7.9%	-9.7%	-11.2%	-11.6%	-14.2%	-14.6%	-17.8%
9	Alia Ott	PSHS	67.11	13.4%	9.1%	9.0%	8.5%	8.3%	8.0%	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%	-2.7%	-4.0%	-5.2%	-6.0%	-6.2%	-6.2%	-6.4%	-6.9%	-7.6%	-9.4%	-10.9%	-11.3%	-13.9%	-14.3%	-17.5%
10	Kira Gazal	BHS	68.95	15.7%	12.1%	12.0%	11.5%	11.3%	10.9%	5.0%	3.1%	2.7%	0.0%	-1.3%	-2.6%	-3.5%	-3.6%	-3.6%	-3.8%	-4.3%	-5.1%	-6.9%	-8.5%	-8.8%	-11.5%	-12.0%	-15.3%
11	Annabella Cerasoli	WHS	69.88	16.8%	13.6%	13.5%	13.0%	12.8%	12.4%	6.4%	4.5%	4.1%	1.3%	0.0%	-1.3%	-2.2%	-2.3%	-2.3%	-2.5%	-3.0%	-3.8%	-5.6%	-7.2%	-7.6%	-10.3%	-10.8%	-14.1%
12	Joslyn DeWeese	EHS	70.82	17.9%	15.2%	15.0%	14.5%	14.3%	13.9%	7.8%	5.9%	5.5%	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	-0.8%	-1.0%	-1.0%	-1.2%	-1.7%	-2.5%	-4.4%	-6.0%	-6.4%	-9.1%	-9.6%	-13.0%
13	Rosalyn Hill	WPHS	71.42	18.6%	16.1%	16.0%	15.5%	15.2%	14.9%	8.7%	6.8%	6.4%	3.6%	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-0.9%	-1.7%	-3.6%	-5.2%	-5.6%	-8.3%	-8.8%	-12.2%
14	Caroline Bazzle	GWHS	71.54	18.7%	16.3%	16.2%	15.6%	15.4%	15.1%	8.9%	7.0%	6.6%	3.8%	2.4%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%	-0.7%	-1.5%	-3.4%	-5.0%	-5.4%	-8.2%	-8.7%	-12.1%
15	Kaleigh Edwards	EHS	71.55	18.8%	16.3%	16.2%	15.7%	15.5%	15.1%	8.9%	7.0%	6.6%	3.8%	2.4%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%	-0.7%	-1.5%	-3.4%	-5.0%	-5.4%	-8.2%	-8.6%	-12.1%
16	Isabella Farinash	PHS	71.67	18.9%	16.5%	16.4%	15.9%	15.7%	15.3%	9.1%	7.2%	6.8%	3.9%	2.6%	1.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.5%	-1.3%	-3.2%	-4.8%	-5.2%	-8.0%	-8.5%	-11.9%
17	Shae Goldsmith	JHS	72.06	19.3%	17.2%	17.0%	16.5%	16.3%	15.9%	9.7%	7.7%	7.4%	4.5%	3.1%	1.8%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.8%	-2.7%	-4.3%	-4.7%	-7.5%	-8.0%	-11.5%
18	Elisabeth Gottlieb	JHS	72.63	20.0%	18.1%	18.0%	17.4%	17.2%	16.8%	10.6%	8.6%	8.2%	5.3%	3.9%	2.6%	1.7%	1.5%	1.5%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	-1.9%	-3.6%	-4.0%	-6.8%	-7.3%	-10.8%
19	Ainsley Lewis	GWHS	74.05	21.5%	20.4%	20.3%	19.7%	19.5%	19.1%	12.7%	10.7%	10.3%	7.4%	6.0%	4.6%	3.7%	3.5%	3.5%	3.3%	2.8%	2.0%	0.0%	-1.7%	-2.1%	-5.0%	-5.5%	-9.0%
20	Eden Honaker	WWHS	75.32	22.8%	22.5%	22.3%	21.8%	21.5%	21.2%	14.7%	12.6%	12.2%	9.2%	7.8%	6.4%	5.5%	5.3%	5.3%	5.1%	4.5%	3.7%	1.7%	0.0%	-0.4%	-3.3%	-3.8%	-7.4%
21	Katryn Helmick	SAHS	75.64	23.1%	23.0%	22.9%	22.3%	22.1%	21.7%	15.1%	13.1%	12.7%	9.7%	8.2%	6.8%	5.9%	5.7%	5.7%	5.5%	5.0%	4.1%	2.1%	0.4%	0.0%	-2.9%	-3.4%	-7.1%
22	Audrey Drennen	FSHS	77.91	25.4%	26.7%	26.5%	25.9%	25.7%	25.3%	18.6%	16.5%	16.1%	13.0%	11.5%	10.0%	9.1%	8.9%	8.9%	8.7%	8.1%	7.3%	5.2%	3.4%	3.0%	0.0%	-0.5%	-4.3%
23	Kailee Love	JMHS	78.32	25.8%	27.3%	27.2%	26.6%	26.4%	26.0%	19.2%	17.1%	16.7%	13.6%	12.1%	10.6%	9.7%	9.5%	9.5%	9.3%	8.7%	7.8%	5.8%	4.0%	3.5%	0.5%	0.0%	-3.8%
24	Sydney Hess	JMHS	81.38	28.6%	32.3%	32.2%	31.6%	31.3%	30.9%	23.9%	21.7%	21.3%	18.0%	16.5%	14.9%	13.9%	13.8%	13.7%	13.5%	12.9%	12.0%	9.9%	8.0%	7.6%	4.5%	3.9%	0.0%

Guys 100 Butterfly Color Projection of Probable Finish

In this chart, green means probable, yellow means possible, and red means unlikely. The percentage is the percentage of your best time needed to achieve the which place.

Rk	Swimmer	Team	Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	Henry Sheils	HHS	51.29	0.0%	-1.8%	-6.9%	-8.5%	-8.6%	-8.7%	-10.7%	-11.3%	-12.4%	-12.6%	-13.8%	-16.0%	-16.6%	-16.7%	-18.1%	-20.2%	-23.6%	-23.7%	-23.7%	-23.8%	-25.3%	-25.8%	-26.4%	-27.0%
2	Nick Lugo	JHS	52.21	1.8%	0.0%	-5.2%	-6.9%	-6.9%	-7.1%	-9.1%	-9.7%	-10.8%	-11.0%	-12.3%	-14.5%	-15.1%	-15.2%	-16.6%	-18.8%	-22.2%	-22.3%	-22.4%	-22.5%	-23.9%	-24.5%	-25.1%	-25.7%
3	Noah Siegrist	SMHS	55.08	7.4%	5.5%	0.0%	-1.7%	-1.8%	-2.0%	-4.1%	-4.7%	-5.9%	-6.1%	-7.5%	-9.8%	-10.4%	-10.6%	-12.1%	-14.3%	-18.0%	-18.0%	-18.1%	-18.2%	-19.7%	-20.4%	-21.0%	-21.6%
4	Josh Thomason	WHS	56.05	9.3%	7.4%	1.8%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.2%	-2.4%	-3.1%	-4.3%	-4.5%	-5.8%	-8.2%	-8.8%	-9.0%	-10.5%	-12.8%	-16.5%	-16.6%	-16.6%	-16.8%	-18.3%	-19.0%	-19.6%	-20.2%
5	Mason Titchenal	BHS	56.1	9.4%	7.5%	1.9%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.2%	-2.3%	-3.0%	-4.2%	-4.4%	-5.8%	-8.2%	-8.8%	-8.9%	-10.4%	-12.7%	-16.4%	-16.5%	-16.6%	-16.7%	-18.3%	-18.9%	-19.5%	-20.2%
6	Zachary Groe	GWHS	56.19	9.6%	7.6%	2.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	-2.1%	-2.8%	-4.0%	-4.2%	-5.6%	-8.0%	-8.6%	-8.8%	-10.3%	-12.6%	-16.3%	-16.4%	-16.4%	-16.5%	-18.1%	-18.8%	-19.4%	-20.0%
7	Carter Redelman	MHS	57.41	11.9%	10.0%	4.2%	2.4%	2.3%	2.2%	0.0%	-0.7%	-1.9%	-2.2%	-3.6%	-6.0%	-6.6%	-6.8%	-8.3%	-10.7%	-14.5%	-14.6%	-14.6%	-14.7%	-16.3%	-17.0%	-17.7%	-18.3%
8	Caden Ondrejko	WCCHS	57.82	12.7%	10.7%	5.0%	3.2%	3.1%	2.9%	0.7%	0.0%	-1.2%	-1.5%	-2.9%	-5.3%	-6.0%	-6.1%	-7.7%	-10.0%	-13.9%	-14.0%	-14.0%	-14.1%	-15.8%	-16.4%	-17.1%	-17.7%
9	Brandon Workman	CMHS	58.54	14.1%	12.1%	6.3%	4.4%	4.3%	4.2%	2.0%	1.2%	0.0%	-0.2%	-1.7%	-4.2%	-4.8%	-5.0%	-6.5%	-8.9%	-12.8%	-12.9%	-12.9%	-13.1%	-14.7%	-15.4%	-16.0%	-16.7%

#	Name	School	Rating																									
10	Luke Anger	EHS	58.68	14.4%	12.4%	6.5%	4.7%	4.6%	4.4%	2.2%	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	-1.4%	-3.9%	-4.6%	-4.7%	-6.3%	-8.7%	-12.6%	-12.7%	-12.7%	-12.8%	-14.5%	-15.2%	-15.8%	-16.5%
11	Anthony Ott	PSHS	59.53	16.1%	14.0%	8.1%	6.2%	6.1%	5.9%	3.7%	3.0%	1.7%	1.4%	0.0%	-2.5%	-3.2%	-3.3%	-4.9%	-7.4%	-11.3%	-11.4%	-11.5%	-11.6%	-13.3%	-13.9%	-14.6%	-15.3%
12	Jayden Starks	PBHS	61.08	19.1%	17.0%	10.9%	9.0%	8.9%	8.7%	6.4%	5.6%	4.3%	4.1%	2.6%	0.0%	-0.7%	-0.8%	-2.5%	-5.0%	-9.0%	-9.1%	-9.2%	-9.3%	-11.0%	-11.7%	-12.4%	-13.1%
13	William Hamrick	GWHS	61.48	19.9%	17.8%	11.6%	9.7%	9.6%	9.4%	7.1%	6.3%	5.0%	4.8%	3.3%	0.7%	0.0%	-0.2%	-1.8%	-4.3%	-8.4%	-8.5%	-8.6%	-8.7%	-10.4%	-11.1%	-11.8%	-12.5%
14	Zachary Ribas	UHS	61.59	20.1%	18.0%	11.8%	9.9%	9.8%	9.6%	7.3%	6.5%	5.2%	5.0%	3.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	-1.7%	-4.2%	-8.3%	-8.4%	-8.4%	-8.5%	-10.3%	-11.0%	-11.7%	-12.4%
15	William Buchanan	HURR	62.63	22.1%	20.0%	13.7%	11.7%	11.6%	11.5%	9.1%	8.3%	7.0%	6.7%	5.2%	2.5%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	-2.6%	-6.7%	-6.8%	-6.9%	-7.0%	-8.7%	-9.5%	-10.2%	-10.9%
16	Maddox Rader	PSHS	64.27	25.3%	23.1%	16.7%	14.7%	14.6%	14.4%	11.9%	11.2%	9.8%	9.5%	8.0%	5.2%	4.5%	4.4%	2.6%	0.0%	-4.3%	-4.4%	-4.4%	-4.5%	-6.4%	-7.1%	-7.8%	-8.6%
17	Andrews Aidan	CHS	67.13	30.9%	28.6%	21.9%	19.8%	19.7%	19.5%	16.9%	16.1%	14.7%	14.4%	12.8%	9.9%	9.2%	9.0%	7.2%	4.4%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-2.2%	-2.9%	-3.7%	-4.5%
18	Josiah Corley	RCB	67.21	31.0%	28.7%	22.0%	19.9%	19.8%	19.6%	17.1%	16.2%	14.8%	14.5%	12.9%	10.0%	9.3%	9.1%	7.3%	4.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%	-2.1%	-2.8%	-3.6%	-4.4%
19	Braxton Kenney	EHS	67.24	31.1%	28.8%	22.1%	20.0%	19.9%	19.7%	17.1%	16.3%	14.9%	14.6%	13.0%	10.1%	9.4%	9.2%	7.4%	4.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	-2.0%	-2.8%	-3.6%	-4.3%
20	Enion Cumani	BROOK	67.33	31.3%	29.0%	22.2%	20.1%	20.0%	19.8%	17.3%	16.4%	15.0%	14.7%	13.1%	10.2%	9.5%	9.3%	7.5%	4.8%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-1.9%	-2.7%	-3.4%	-4.2%
21	Evan Large	UHS	68.63	33.8%	31.4%	24.6%	22.4%	22.3%	22.1%	19.5%	18.7%	17.2%	17.0%	15.3%	12.4%	11.6%	11.4%	9.6%	6.8%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%	1.9%	0.0%	-0.8%	-1.6%	-2.3%
22	Benjamin LaPole	MUHS	69.17	34.9%	32.5%	25.6%	23.4%	23.3%	23.1%	20.5%	19.6%	18.2%	17.9%	16.2%	13.2%	12.5%	12.3%	10.4%	7.6%	3.0%	2.9%	2.9%	2.7%	0.8%	0.0%	-0.8%	-1.6%
23	Max Hill	WPHS	69.72	35.9%	33.5%	26.6%	24.4%	24.3%	24.1%	21.4%	20.6%	19.1%	18.8%	17.1%	14.1%	13.4%	13.2%	11.3%	8.5%	3.9%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%	-0.8%
24	Zach Granata	SHS	70.28	37.0%	34.6%	27.6%	25.4%	25.3%	25.1%	22.4%	21.5%	20.1%	19.8%	18.1%	15.1%	14.3%	14.1%	12.2%	9.4%	4.7%	4.6%	4.5%	4.4%	2.4%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%